

Lane County, Oregon

FY 08-09 Service Options Sheet

Service: **Federal Lobbying**
 Dept: General Expense
 Program Contact: David Garnick
 Contact Phone: 682-3694

BCC Service Priorities:
 Fund: 124 Dept. Org ID: 5770010
 Dept. Priority: of
 Fund Priority: 43 of 46

Executive Summary

These funds pay for a contract for a Washington D.C. lobbying firm to lobby on behalf of Lane County. The current contract is with the firm of Smith, Dawson and Andrews. Included in this contract is the coordination of the annual United Front visit to Washington D.C. by local government officials including Lane County. This firm does not specifically lobby for renewal of the Secure Rural Schools funding.

State/Federal Mandate

None

Outcome Measure/s

The outcome is the amount of federal funding earmarked annually for Lane County. While the amounts vary from year to year, results from the most recent year were \$616,500 in the Omnibus Bill which included \$123K for the FQHC; nearly \$400K for the County's Meth Initiative; and \$94K for the Adult Corrections Mental Health Recidivism Project.

Service Level Descriptions. Level 1 (very bottom) = Threshold Level Below Which Service Cannot Be Provided

X=Funded	Proposed Service Funding >>	Other Funds		Expense Total	General Fund	FTE
		0	53,663	53,663	53,663	0.00
	Level 2: Secure Rural Schools Lobbying	28,000	218,000	190,000	190,000	0.00
	Contract with Cassidy & Assoc. geared specifically toward gaining a multi-year extension of the Secure Rural Schools funding. The first year of the contact resulted in getting a one-year extension to the Act.					
X	Level 1: Federal Lobbying	0	53,663	53,663	53,663	0.00
	This contract includes coordination of the annual United Front visit to Washington D.C. by local government officials including Lane County and providing lobbying efforts on behalf of Lane County throughout the fiscal year. Project requests for the upcoming year are not yet finalized but the preliminary list shows Lane County requesting funding totalling \$2 million. The current contractor is Smith, Dawson & Andrews.					

Ver: 12/18/07d-ji

- "Shall" Mandate
- Related Mandate
- No Clear Mandate
- Highly Leveraged to County provided service (100% return or greater)
- Leverages Funds to County
- Leverages Funding for Citizens and/or Community Organizations

Leverage Details

At the FY 07-08 level of service, the	\$15,493,500	back to the General Fund
GF portion of this program leverages:	\$32,123,000	into other County Funds
	\$0	directly or via subcontract to community orgs
	\$0	directly to citizens via services provided

6358.58% General Fund Leverage Ratio

For the purpose of this comparison, only include leveraged funds that are dependent on General Fund revenue. Do not include funds that would still be leveraged if the General Fund portion of the service were decreased or eliminated.

Please use this space to explain the Proposed Service Level Funding impact on leverage of the varying levels of service described above.

The General Fund Leverage Ratio drops significantly to approximately 37% when lobbying is only funded at level one, as is true in the Proposed Budget.