Lane County Oregon — Poverty and Homelessness Board

All Member Meeting
Thursday, April 20, 2023
12:00 p.m. – 1:30 p.m.
Harris Hall, 125 E 8th Avenue, Eugene
https://us06web.zoom.us/j/88687549300?pwd=ZTFlcm8zeUorUUE3Ymg0azYxL1RhUT09
Meeting ID: 886 8754 9300 **Passcode:** 227047 **One tap mobile:** +12532158782,,88687549300#
To watch live or later, visit: https://lanecounty.ompnetwork.org/

AGENDA

Topic	
1. Welcome and Agenda Review/ Brittany Quick-Warner, Chair Member Check In and Quick Updates	2 min
2. Land Acknowledgement/ Chair	3 min
3. Public Comment *Individuals who plan to offer comment must indicate they wish to give public comment in the chat box, with name*	5 min
4. Follow-up from Previous Meeting and Consent Agenda/ Chair ■ New Philanthropic Seat Member - Maggie Bagon ■ Approve Minutes from February 16, 2023 All Member meeting ■ Accept March 2023 Statement Financial Dashboard and Financials	5 min
5. All In Lane County/ Commissioner Pat Farr & Kate Budd ■ Update ■ Prevention Hub - Brianna Rogers	20 min
6. PHB/HSC Consultant Update/ Vic Coleman ■ Presentation	10 min
7. Committee Updates/ Chair ■ CE Stakeholder - Katharine Ryan ■ Healthcare - Dr. Willy Foster ■ LEAGUE - Julie Lambert ■ Youth Homeless Solutions - Susan Lopez ■ Youth Executive Committee - Mattias Smith	10 min
8. Coordinated Entry Webpage & Diversion Update/ Katharine Ryan ■ *Presentation/ Discussion*	15 min
9. Severe Weather Update/ Maria Cortez & Tim Black	10 min
10. CoC Application Evaluation/ Brianna Rogers	5 min
11. Wrap Up/ Next Meeting Reminder: A Narrative of additional PHB Related Updates was provided in the meeting packet. Summarize board decisions, assignments/action items, next steps, and next month's meeting agenda. *Next Meetings: May 18, 2023 Executive Committee June 15, 2023 All Member*	5 min
12. Adjournment	

The Poverty and Homeless Board is an action oriented group of elected officials, community stakeholders, and individuals who represent low-income and homeless people's concerns. The purpose of the PHB is to create innovative partnerships and programs that use best practices to reduce poverty and homelessness in Lane County. The PHB will work to generate resources, community and legislative support for housing and services to achieve its goals.

LANE COUNTY HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES — BOARDS & COMMISSIONS
HOW TO BEST MAXIMIZE COMMUNITY-INFORMED SERVICES

PROJECT GOALS

Develop clear recommendations for reimagining the future of two interrelated Lane County boards and commissions—Poverty and Homelessness Board (PHB) and Human Services Commission (HSC).

- **Poverty and Homelessness Board** is an action-oriented group of elected and appointed officials, community stakeholders, and individuals who represent low-income and homeless people's concerns.[1] The PHB is the administering board for the County's Community Action Agency (CAA) and the County's Homeless Continuum of Care (CoC) Board in addition to serving as the Human Services Commission's advisory board for regional human services policy, planning, and funding allocations.

- **Human Services Commission** is comprised of elected and appointed officials from the three jurisdictions that comprise the scope of the HSC: the cities of Springfield, Eugene, and Lane County.[2] The HSC pursues regional cooperation and coordination in the planning, funding, and delivery of human services through evaluation, research, and resource allocation recommendations.

PROJECT ACTIVITIES & TIMELINE

- Assess current and future thoughts about these boards and commissions from key stakeholders.
- Analyze key informant and survey data.
- Develop a report with clear recommendations regarding potential functions and forms for these two, public entity bodies.

Initial Timeline: December 2022 – June 2023

DEC - JAN	Identify key informants.
JAN	Develop questions for interviews and related online survey.
JAN – FEB	Interview respondents and open survey.
MARCH	Analyze interview and survey data.
APRIL - MAY	Develop report, including recommendations & provide opportunity for feedback.
MAY - JUNE	Finalize recommendations and develop initial implementation steps.

PROJECT CONTACTS

Uncommon Solutions, Inc.

- Vic Colman: viccolman@uncommonsolutionsinc.com
- Rebeca Potasnik: rebeca@uncommonsolutionsinc.com

---

[1]https://www.lanecounty.org/government/county_departments/health_and_human_services/human_services_division/poverty_and_homelessness_board
[2]https://www.lanecounty.org/cms/One.aspx?portalId=3585881&pageId=4667449

ORGANIZING DOCUMENTS AND ASSOCIATED REQUIREMENTS

Poverty and Homelessness Board

The PHB fulfills the *Continuum of Care* and *Community Action Agency* requirements for eligibility to receive federal funds administered primarily through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Additionally, per the intergovernmental agreement (IGA) establishing the HSC, the PHB serves as the HSC's advisory board for regional human services policy, planning, and funding allocations.

- **Continuum of Care (CoC):** 42 USC 11302, 24 CFR § 578
    - *Purposes*
        - Promote communitywide commitment to the goal of ending homelessness;
        - Provide funding for efforts to quickly rehouse homeless people while minimizing the trauma and dislocation caused to homeless people and communities by homelessness;
        - Promote access to & effective utilization of mainstream programs by homeless people; and
        - Optimize self-sufficiency among people experiencing homelessness.
    - *Requirements*
        - Convene representatives of nonprofit homeless providers, victim services providers, faith-based organizations, governments, businesses, advocates, public housing agencies, school districts, social service providers, mental health agencies, hospitals, universities, affordable housing developers, law enforcement, and organizations that serve homeless and formerly homeless individuals and veterans.
        - Establish a board representative of the relevant organizations and projects serving homeless subpopulations and include at least one homeless or formerly homeless individual.
        - Utilize a Homeless Management Information System (HMIS).
        - Designate eligible applicants to apply for Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) and CoC funding.

- **Community Action Agency:** ORS 458.505
    - *Purpose*: delivery system for federal antipoverty programs including:
        - Community Development Block Grants (CDBG)
        - Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP)
        - U.S. Department of Energy Weather Assistance Program
    - *Requirements*
        - Establish a tripartite community action board of at least nine but no more than 33 members.
            - One-third elected public officials
            - One-third representatives of the poor in the area served
            - One-third members of business, industry, labor, religious, welfare, education or other major groups and interests in the community

Human Services Commission

A regional commission established through an intergovernmental agreement (IGA) between Lane County and Cities of Eugene and Springfield.

- **Purpose**
  - Recommend regional human service policy, programs, and partnerships -- focusing efforts on reducing poverty, increasing housing stability, and promoting and improving the health, well-being, self-sufficiency and safety of low-income, disadvantaged, and disabled County residents and communities.
  - Develop a work plan for targeting local governmental resources for human service-related activities.
  - Advocate and advise the Lane County Board of Commissioners and the Eugene and Springfield City councils on the human service needs of low-income residents.
- **Requirement:** The representation from each jurisdiction is based on the proportional amount of general funds contributed to the Human Service Fund budget.

THEMES FROM STAKEHOLDER INTERVIEWS TO DATE (3/15/23)

Strengths

- PHB's ability to represent diversity of stakeholders from a broad representation of the community.
- HSC's flexibility over the years to address issues of human need in a broad way and make lasting community impacts, seeing the whole system at a county level.

Tensions

- HSC
  - Not following PHB recommendations in their advisory capacity to the HSC.
- PHB
  - Engaged in lots of talk but little action.
  - Focused almost exclusively on homelessness and not on the broader issues of poverty and human services.
  - Service providers filling seats that could be filled by more people with lived experience.
  - Not an authentic space for dialogue and problem solving; members are talked at and presented to.
- HSC & PHB
  - Lack of communication to the public about the issues and strategies employed to address them.
  - Redundancies in HSC and PHB meeting content and attendees.
  - Politics affecting the work.
  - The tension between the perceived real power of the HSC versus the advisory role of the PHB.
  - Perceptions of the responsibilities and actions taken on by the city versus the county.

Unmet Needs

- PHB
  - Defined goals and measurable criteria for the PHB's work as well as impacts of funded efforts.
  - Use of PBH's strategic plan and other relevant regional plans to guide PHB's efforts.
- HSC
  - Sufficient funding to fill gaps and address other issues that get raised.
- HSC & PHB
  - Clear, shared understanding of HSC and PHB's purposes, distinct roles, functions, lines of authority.
  - Communication between the HSC and PHB and a strong connection between the Board of County Commissioners and HSC/PHB.
  - Jurisdictional neutrality.
  - Better use of existing data for decision making and communication to the public.

Structural Changes – Initial Inputs

- Majority interviewed are in favor of some sort of structural change—merger or an entirely new entity or entities. Only one person felt things were working fine as they are.
- Four people expressed wanting at least some of the functions taken out of government (housed in an NGO, for example), and another four people expressed wanting whatever entity/entities that are created to remain housed in the county.

Other Considerations

The following intersecting efforts were brought up by some stakeholders.

- **HOME Consortium**: Access to federal HOME funds for allocation to projects in Cities of Eugene and Springfield to provide affordable housing to people with low incomes.

- **Housing Policy Board**: City of Eugene and Lane County working to increase the availability of decent, affordable housing for people with low and very low-income families and individuals by providing a forum for public input and by making policy and other recommendations.

- **Multi Agency Collaborative** (MAC): Local CoC response to the Governor's emergency declaration related to homelessness which targets geographic areas that correspond with federally designated continuums of care.

- **HSC Charter Review Committee:** Existing ad hoc committee of the HSC that was put on pause.

- **Built for Zero**. An initiative of a national nonprofit called Community Solutions. Lane County and the Cities of Eugene and Springfield are listed as participating communities.

FY2022 HUD CoC Application PROJECT RANKING & AWARD

3.28.2023

	GRANTEE	Project Name	Project Type	Description	Current Grant Amount	FINAL RANK	Awarded Grant Amount
TIER 1	LC	HMIS	HMIS	Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) is local information technology system used to collect client-level data and data on the provision of housing and services to homeless individuals and families and persons at risk of homelessness. Lane County uses Wellsky.	$ 135,995.00	1	$135,995
	LC	THE NEL	PSH	**45** units of Permanent Supportive Housing project for CH adults prioritizing FUSE participants	$ 232,381.00	2	$ 232,381.00
	SVDP	Vet LIFT	PSH	**18** Chronically Homeless Male and Female Veteran Households (Adult only and Households with Children) with long term disabling condition (Dual Diagnosis of Mental Illness With Substance Abuse).	$ 241,005.00	3	$ 241,005.00
	SVDP	LIFT	PSH	**18** Chronically Homeless Adults & Homeless Households with Children With Co-occurring Mental illness and Substance Use history	$ 311,537.00	4	$ 311,537.00
	LC	SHANKLE/MLK	PSH	**62** Chronically Homeless Adults prioritizing FUSE (51 project based and 11 scattered site units).	$ 541,979.00	5	$ 541,979.00
	LC	CAMAS	PSH	**14** Chronically Homeless Households (Adult only and Households with Children) with long term disabling condition (severe mental illness) and acute medical needs.	$ 212,810.00	6	$ 212,810.00
	Homes for Good	MADRONE/SPC CONSOLIDATED	PSH	**73** Homeless and Chronically Homeless Households (Adult only and Households with Children) with long term disabling condition (mental health/substance abuse, medical or developmental).	$ 829,786.00	7	$ 829,786.00
	LC	EMERALD	PSH	**15** Homeless Households (Adult only and Households with Children) with long term disabling condition (developmental).	$ 219,485.00	8	$ 219,485.00
	LC	MCKENZIE	RRH	**51** Homeless Households (Adult only households, Households with Children, Households of Children only)	$ 809,618.00	9	$ 809,618.00
	SVDP	CONNECTIONS	RRH	**21** Homeless Households With Children	$ 243,084.00	10	$ 243,084.00
	LC	SAHALIE	PSH	**13** units of Permanent Supportive Housing for CH individuals prioritizing FUSE participants	$ 39,002.00	11	$ 39,002.00
Tier 2	LC	SAHALIE	PSH	**13** units of Permanent Supportive Housing for CH individuals prioritizing FUSE participants	$ 200,878.00	11	$ 200,878.00
	LC	Coordinated Entry (BONUS)	CE-SSO		$ 200,878.00	12	$ -
	LC	Coordinated Entry -DV (DV BONUS)	CE-SSO DV		$ 90,263.00	13	$ -

All Projects listed in this ranking have been accepted and ranked by the CoC in the Priority Listing.			
Bonus	$ 200,878		$ -
DV Bonus	$ 90,263		$ -
Annual Renewal Amount	$ 4,017,560		$ 4,017,560.00
Tier 1	$ 3,816,682		$ 3,816,682.00
Tier 2	$ 492,019		$ 200,878.00

	GRANTEE	Project Name	Project Type	Description	Current Grant Amount	FINAL RANK	Awarded Grant Amount
PLANNING	LC	PLANNING	Planning	Staffing to support the HUD CoC Program application, administer ranking and prioritizing of renewals and new projects, evaluate the outcomes of individual CoC and ESG program projects, compliance activities for the CoC re: environmental reviews and coordinated entry system for homeless services	$ 120,527.00	NOT RANKED	$ 120,527.00
					$ 120,527		$ 120,527.00
					$ 4,429,228.00		$ 4,138,087.00

Summary of Continuum of Care (CoC) funding 2013-2022

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Renewal	$2,587,015	$2,636,549	$2,844,123	$3,279,456	$3,166,759	$3,371,611	$3,629,890	$3,935,094	$3,785,179	$4,017,560
New	$138,534	$88,580	$435,333	$0	$196,767	$0	$0	$0	$232,381	$0
Planning	$33,696	$34,069	$81,754	$98,384	$98,383	$100,906	$105,228	$108,897	$118,053	$120,527
Total Award	**$2,759,245**	**$2,759,198**	**$3,361,210**	**$3,377,840**	**$3,461,909**	**$3,608,512**	**$3,735,118**	**$4,043,991**	**$4,135,613**	**$4,138,087**
Change from Previous Year	$23,502	($47)	$602,012	$16,630	$84,069	$146,603	$126,606	$308,873	$91,622	$2,474
Total Projects	13	14	14	13	14	12	12	12	12	12

Total HUD CoC Award 2013-2022

2022 Summary of System Performance Measures
Lane County OR-500 CoC

Purpose of System Performance Measures

- Develop a common understanding of system intent and goals as well as the projects that make up the Continuum, or system of care (CoC projects and other homeless projects)
- Focus on measuring the cumulative impact of the system as a whole, rather than the individual impact of each project
- Measure Lane County's progress toward preventing and ending homelessness
- Provide data to HUD which is used to gauge progress on Federal goals
- Identify areas for improvement
- Use as a tool to evaluate project-type and specific project effectiveness for resource prioritization and new project development.
- Ask the question, **"Is homelessness in Lane County RARE, BRIEF, and NON-RECURRING?"**

About this Report

- Includes all HUD recognized program types for the represented years. It does not include homeless day access centers or any alternative shelters, like sanctioned camps or Conestoga huts.
- Represents data strictly as entered in the local HMIS for all projects in the project type categories, regardless of funding source or association with Lane County Human Services Division
- These system performance measures compare only our community data to past years. There are no federal target goals for the measures and communities are not measured against or compared with one another.
- The data in this report was submitted to HUD via HUD HDX: http://www.hudhdx.info/

Key:
Prior Year= **2021** (10/01/2020 to 09/30/2021)   **Current Year**= **2022** (10/01/2021 to 09/30/2022)

Acronyms:

ES	Emergency Shelter		TH	Transitional Housing for Homeless
HMIS	Homeless Management Information System		RRH	Rapid Rehousing (Short to Medium Term Rental Assistance)
PH	Rapid Rehousing and Permanent Supportive Housing		TH	Transitional Housing for Homeless
PSH	Permanent Supportive Housing		SO	Street Outreach

Definitions:
- **Average** - The average is the arithmetic mean of a set of numbers
- **Median** - The median is a numeric value that separates the higher half of a set from the lower half

When there is a possibility of extreme values, the median is generally the better measure to use.

Performance Outcomes Key
↑ Improved Performance Outcomes in 2022 (compared to prior year)
↓ Diminished Performance Outcomes in 2022 (compared to prior year)

Highlights

	2019	2020	2021	2022	Summary Outcome 2022
1a Goal: Reduce the length of time that persons in ES and TH remain homeless • Measure: Average Days homeless	133	118	158	110↑	People in ES and TH projects experienced shorter episodes of homelessness than in prior years
1b Goal: Reduce the length of time that persons in ES, TH and PH (prior to housing move-in) remain homeless • Measure: Average Days homeless	787	1,010	1,043	1,166 ↓	People in PH projects experienced longer episodes of homelessness than in prior years
2 Goal: Reduce returns to homeless • Measure: Percent of people who exited homelessness into a permanent housing situation who then became homeless again within two years	39%	21%	24%	22% ↑	Fewer people returned to homelessness after previously exiting a permanent housing program to a permanent housing situation (returns do not include enrollments in Day Access or Alternative Shelters)
3.1 Goal: Reduce the number of people experiencing homelessness (Point-in-Time survey) • Measure: Number of people who are experiencing homelessness on the last Wednesday of January	2,165	1,606	1,990	2,105 ↓	The number of people surveyed in January 2022 was greater than in January 2021 *Note: Lane County now uses a Homeless By-Name List to measure homelessness*
3.2 Goal: Reduce the annual number of people in shelters (ES and TH) • Measure: Number of people who stayed in shelters (ES and TH)	3,017	2,746	2,215	3,265 ↓	The number of individuals in shelter significantly increased. Emergency Shelter inventory returned to pre-COVID levels, and more shelters opened with emergency funds
5 Goal: Decrease the number of Persons who Become Homeless for the First Time • Measure: Number of people entering shelters (ES & TH) with no prior shelter stays in past 24 months	2,013	1,637	1,635	2,516 ↓	The number was significantly higher in 2022. This may be related to reduced shelter capacity in the previous two years.
7a Goal: Increase the successful placements in permanent housing from Street Outreach • Measure: Percentage of people in Street Outreach who exited to PH	10%	13%	14%	23% ↑	Street Outreach projects have increased, case conferencing and CWL outreach have improved housing placements
7b1 Goal: Increase the successful placements in permanent housing from Shelters or Permanent Housing (ES, TH, RRH and PSH) projects • Measure: Percentage of people in Shelters or PH projects who exited to PH	20%	17%	29%	19% ↓	Successful exits decreased, but are similar to years prior to 2021. Increased shelter may have affected this number.

Examples of Project Types Included in LSA and SPMs

Emergency Shelter Projects

Agency	Provider (Project) Name
St Vincent de Paul First Place Family	1st PLACE - Family Annex ES [HSC] [HRPS]
Catholic Community Services	CCS - Motel Vouchers [SHAP] SPRINGFIELD
Equitable Social Solutions	ESS - River Avenue Navigation Ctr [OHCS][ARP]
Eugene Mission	EM - Rescue Shelter ES
Looking Glass	LG - Station 7 ES [SHAP] [ESG] [RHY-BCP](3305)
ShelterCare	SC - BHRN ES [OHA]
ShelterCare	SC - FUSE ES [Trillium]
Siuslaw Outreach Services	SOS - Homeless Motel Vouchers [SHAP]
St. Vincent de Paul Housing	SVDP-H - VET LIFT ES [VA]
St. Vincent de Paul Lindholm	SVDP-L - Dusk to Dawn ES [HRPS] [CDBG-CV] [OOTC] [HSC]
St. Vincent de Paul Lindholm	SVDP-L - Egan 2021 ES [SHAP](7233) (retired)
St. Vincent de Paul Lindholm	SVDP-L - Motel 66 Motel-Based ES [ARPA] (retired)

Transitional Housing Projects

Agency	Provider (Project) Name
St Vincent de Paul First Place	1st PLACE - Youth House TH
Eugene Mission	EM - Mens Life Change TH
Looking Glass	LG – Transitional Living Prog
Sponsors	SPON - GPD (TH) [VA]
St Vincent de Paul Housing	SVDP-H - VET LIFT AVC-GPD (TH) [VA]

Rapid Rehousing Projects

Agency	Provider (Project) Name
Catholic Community Services	CCS - McKenzie RRH [CoC]
Lane County	LC-WFS - Employment & Housing Navigation RRH [EHA]
Looking Glass	LG - McKenzie RRH [CoC]
ShelterCare	SC - FUSE RRH [EHA]
ShelterCare	SC - McKenzie RRH [CoC]
St. Vincent de Paul Housing	SVDP-H - Connections RRH Families [HRPS]
St. Vincent de Paul Housing	SVDP-H - Supportive Services for Veteran Families RRH [VA-SSVF]

Street Outreach Projects (included in SPMs but not LSA)

Agency	Provider (Project) Name
Alliance for Community Wellness	ACW - Health Focused Street Outreach [HSC][ARPA][OOTC][CDBG-CV SPFLD]
Community Supported Shelters	CSS - Housing Navigation SO [CDBG CV EUG]
Lane County	LC - CE CWL Outreach [EHA][SHAP]
Looking Glass	LG - Rural Street Outreach [RHY-SOP]
Laurel Hill	LH - FUSE SO [SAMHSA]
White Bird	WB - Street Outreach [PATH]

Permanent Supportive Housing Projects

Agency	Provider (Project) Name
Homes for Good	HfG - Consolidated SPC/Madrone PSH [CoC]
Homes for Good	HfG - HUD-VASH PSH [VA]
Laurel Hill	LH - The Nel PSH [OHCS PSH][CoC]
Mainstream Housing Inc	MHI - Emerald Options PSH [CoC]
ShelterCare (operated by Homes for Good as of 1/1/2023)	SC - Commons on MLK PSH [CoC] [KAISER/PH] (operated by Homes for Good as of 1/1/2023)
ShelterCare	SC - Keystone PSH [HCV][OHCS]
ShelterCare	SC - Sahalie PSH [CoC]
Sponsors	SPON - The Way Home PSH [HCV] [HUD: Pay-for-Success]
St. Vincent de Paul Housing	SVDP-H - LIFT PSH [CoC]
St. Vincent de Paul Housing	SVDP-H - VET LIFT 1 PSH [CoC]

Alternative Shelter Projects (not included in any federal reports)

Agency	Provider (Project) Name
Catholic Community Services	CCS - OASIS Overnight Parking
Everyone Village (City of Eugene)	COE - Everyone's Village Safe Sleep [CoE]
Community Supported Shelters	CSS - Veterans Safe Spot
Square One Villages	SOV - Opportunity Village Eugene
St. Vincent de Paul Lindholm	SVDP-L - Safe Sleep 410 [ARPA]

*A complete list of HMIS-participating projects are listed on the [Homeless Housing Shelter Inventory](#) page.

2022 Summary of Longitudinal System Analysis

Purpose of Longitudinal System Analysis

- Review and clean HMIS data to ensure it accurately reflects what occurred in the last year
- Explain to HUD why some data points may look different in our community compared to most
- Provide data to HUD which is included in federal report to Congress (AHAR)
- Use as a tool to evaluate how households are moving through the homelessness system into housing
- Ask the question, **"How does our system function for different household types?"**

Longitudinal System Analysis Summary

- Lane County data was accepted by HUD
- Data submission had zero errors and all explanations were accepted. These explanations were items like why the Length of Stay for participants in a particular permanent housing project were so short (the project did not open until late in the federal fiscal year), and why the Length of Stay for participants in youth transitional housing projects were so long (youth face additional challenges in finding employment and affordable rental units).
- Households with at least one adult and one child were most likely to exit to a positive destination. Adult only households that exited to a permanent destination in the first six months of the year were least likely to return to homelessness within the year.
- A greater number of individuals and households were served than in the previous year
- The percentage of households exiting to positive desintations decreased, but the total number of households exited increased
- Positive exits were most common for Adult & Child households, and for households involved in TH, RRH, and/or PSH
- Returns to homelessness from permanent housing destinations were most common for Child-only households
- HUD created an interactive dashboard from the accepted dataset. Highlights from this dashboard are in the following pages.

System Performance Overview and Client Demographics

2021 overview:

System Performance Overview

Total number of households and people served in the homeless system and performance overview for 10/01/2020 - 09/30/2021 for OR-500 2021 v1

2,800 HOUSEHOLDS | **3,569** PEOPLE

212 Days Homeless	22% Exits	13% Returns
Average cumulative days homeless	Exits to permanent destinations	Returns after exits to permanent destinations
VIEW DETAILS	VIEW DETAILS	VIEW DETAILS

2022 overview:

System Performance Overview

Total number of households and people served in the homeless system and performance overview for 10/01/2021 - 09/30/2022 for Nov1 Upload

3,896 HOUSEHOLDS | **4,706** PEOPLE

141 Days Homeless	15% Exits	13% Returns
Average cumulative days homeless	Exits to permanent destinations	Returns after exits to permanent destinations
VIEW DETAILS	VIEW DETAILS	VIEW DETAILS

Gender of HoH and Adults

- Female 31% | 932
- Male 66% | 1,964
- No Single Gender 1% | 42
- Questioning Gender <1% | 9
- Transgender 1% | 24
- Unknown <1% | 4

Age of All Persons in Household

- Young children (0-5) 4% | 126
- School-aged children (6-17) 8% | 244
- Young adults (18-24) 8% | 258
- Adults (25-54) 56% | 1,812
- Adults (55-64) 17% | 550
- Older adults (65+) 8% | 259
- Unknown <1% | 1

Race and Ethnicity of HoH and Adults

- American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous 4% | 106
- Asian or Asian American <1% | 13
- Black or African American or African 4% | 122
- Multiple races 9% | 280
- Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 1% | 19
- White, Hispanic/Latin(a)(o)(x) 6% | 167
- White, Non-Hispanic/Non-Latin(a)(o)(x) 75% | 2,220
- Unknown 1% | 41

Chronic Homelessness and Disabling Condition of HoH and Adults

- Chronically homeless 39% | 1,175
- 365+ days homeless, 4+ occasions, no disabling condition 16% | 484
- 365+ days homeless, 2-3 occasions, has disabling condition 1% | 34
- 365+ days homeless, <4 occasions, no disabling condition <1% | 10
- 270-364 days homeless, has disabling condition 3% | 77
- 270-364 days homeless, no disabling condition 1% | 32
- <270 days homeless, has disabling condition 20% | 607
- <270 days homeless, no disabling condition 19% | 556

Exits to Permanent Destinations

Exits to Permanent Destinations Trend

Number of households that exited from the homeless system and percent that exited to permanent destinations within each of the past three years.

2020
2,128
HH Exited

15%
Exited to permanent destinations

2021
1,555
HH Exited

20%
Exited to permanent destinations

2022
2,465
HH Exited

15%
Exited to permanent destinations

Legend: All Households | Adult Only | Adult & Child | Child Only

Exits by Household Type

Percent of households that exited to permanent destinations.

ADULT ONLY
1,818
HH Exited

10%
Exited to permanent destinations

ADULT & CHILD
202
HH Exited

35%
Exited to permanent destinations

CHILD ONLY
149
HH Exited

40%
Exited to permanent destinations

Legend: Permanent Destinations | Temporary + Unknown Destinations

Exits by Pathway

Percent and number of households that used each pathway, and percent and number of households in each pathway group that exited to permanent, temporary and unknown destinations.

All Households	Permanent

All Exiting HH (2,465)

15% Exited to Permanent Destinations

Exited to Permanent Destinations

HHs that exited from each Pathway

Pathway		Exited to Permanent Destinations
All Households 100% | 2,465 HH exited		15% | 364 HH
ES/SH Only 85% | 2,099 HH exited		10% | 203 HH
TH Only 1% | 28 HH exited		64% | 18 HH
ES/SH + TH 1% | 33 HH exited		30% | 10 HH
RRH Only 4% | 107 HH exited		57% | 61 HH
ES/SH + RRH 3% | 78 HH exited		49% | 38 HH
TH + RRH <1% | 6 HH exited		50% | 3 HH
ES/SH + TH + RRH <1% | 1 HH exited		100% | 1 HH
PSH Only 3% | 79 HH exited		30% | 24 HH
ES/SH + PSH 1% | 25 HH exited		12% | 3 HH
ES/SH + RRH + PSH <1% | 1 HH exited		0% | 0 HH
RRH + PSH <1% | 1 HH exited		0% | 0 HH
All Other Pathways <1% | 7 HH exited		43% | 3 HH

0%   25%   50%   75%   100%

■ Permanent Destinations   ■ Temporary Destinations   ■ Unknown Destinations

Returns to Homelessness

Returns to the Homeless System

Percent of households that returned to the homeless system within six months of exiting to a permanent destination. Results are shown for the exit cohort selected in the dropdown.

HH that Exited in the 12 mo prior to the Current Report Period ⌄

Adult Only — 187 HH / 11% \| 21 HH

Adult & Child — 53 HH / 4% \| 2 HH

Child Only — 41 HH / 29% \| 12 HH

12%
RETURNS
35 of 287 HH

■ Permanent Destinations   ■ Returns

Time Distribution of Returns

Number of households that returned to the homeless system after exiting to a permanent destination and the percent of households who returned within each of the defined time intervals. Results are shown for the exit cohort selected in the dropdown. Return rates are cumulative for the timeframes available for each cohort; only the oldest cohort has return rates for the full three-year period.

HH that Exited in the 12 mo prior to the Current Report Period

27	3	20
Adult Only HH Returned	Adult & Child HH Returned	Child Only HH Returned

---

HH that Exited in the 12 mo prior to the Current Report Period ⌄   All Households ⌄   Permanent ⌄

All Exiting HH (2,348)

12% Returned within 6 months

Returned after exit to Permanent Destinations

Pathway		Returns
All Households 100% | 2,348 HH exited		12% | 35 of 287 HH
ES/SH Only 88% | 2,072 HH exited		19% | 23 of 124 HH
TH Only 2% | 55 HH exited		11% | 4 of 38 HH
ES/SH + TH 1% | 23 HH exited		0% | 0 of 6 HH
RRH Only 6% | 148 HH exited		7% | 6 of 85 HH
ES/SH + RRH 1% | 29 HH exited		6% | 1 of 18 HH
TH + RRH <1% | 8 HH exited		13% | 1 of 8 HH
ES/SH + TH + RRH <1% | 7 HH exited		0% | 0 of 6 HH

HHs that exited from each Pathway

System Performance Map

System Performance Map

Households use different combinations of project types during the time they are served in the homeless system. These project type combinations are referred to as pathways. Each pathway has different average cumulative days homeless, exits to permanent housing and returns to the homeless system. The system map shows performance for the main project types in the homeless system and can be filtered to show performance for the main pathways.

All Households	All Pathways

Legend:
- ■ Emergency Shelter/Safe Haven (ES/SH), Transitional Housing (TH) RRH/PSH Prior to Housing move-in
- ■ Rapid Re-Housing (RRH), Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)
- ■ Exits to Permanent Destinations
- ■ Returns

141 Days Homeless

POINT OF HOUSING

3,323 HH served in Homeless System

- 85% → **ES/SH 2,825 HH** Av. 91 days per HH
- 87% →
- 10% 203 of 2,099 exiting HH

- 8% / 7% → **RRH 462 HH** Av. 107 pre-move-in days per HH | Av. 271 housed days per HH
- 11%
- 3%
- 54% 103 of 192 exiting HH
- 9%

- 4% / 4% → **PSH 264 HH** Av. 366 pre-move-in days per HH | 145 HH with PSH move-in dates
- 25% 27 of 106 exiting HH

Permanent Destinations 15% 364 of 2,465 exiting HH

- 2% → **TH 153 HH** Av. 207 days per HH
- 89%
- 46% 28 of 61 exiting HH

- 1% → **All Other Pathways 44 HH**
- 43% 3 of 7 exiting HH

SYSTEM RETURNS ← Av. 118 days to return

13% | 24 of 190 exiting HH